

ALLEN-SCOTT REPORT

Inside Washington

By ROBERT S. ALLEN and PAUL SCOTT



CPYRGHT

LBJ Backs Poll

Johnson is telling his top congressional leaders he personally favors including a flat balf. against state poll taxes in the voting rights bill.

This private declaration puts him directly counter to the pubhe stand of Atty. Gen. Katzenbach-who holds such a provision is unconstitutional.

cenback's legal judgment, the President's stand. Senote rejected 49 to 45 an unendment by Sen, Edward Dirksen, R-III.

conference with the congress see eye-to-eye." sional leaders. The measure includes a strong anti-poll tax provision.

"I would like to see that passed and become law," said. the President.

FIRST CLUE

This was the first time he had given any clue to his views on this hotly controversial issue. Prior to and throughout the Senate's long consideration of the voting rights legislation, the President maintained a

locked by the action of the House Judiciary Committee approving a ban on state poll taxes tentatively slated to be consider- view," said McCormack. "Katmonth.

il leaders coinced surprise, the had discussed his fegal, opinion Prosident explained that Katzen with you."
Luch had vo Approved Forg Release 2000/09/08 CIA

egal opinion without the Presiterview.

"Under these circumstances" said the President, "I had no alternative but to remain silent or fire my attorney general."

A DEADLOCK

Speaker John McCormack, D-Largely on the basis of Kat- Mass., bearingly hailed the

"I'm delighted to hear you Kennedy, D-Mass., outlawing say you are against state polistate poll taxes. The fight taxes," he exclaimed. "That is against it was led by the Demo- exactly the way I feel, and why cratic and Republican leaders I have been wholeheartedly supof the chamber - Sen. Mike porting the Judiciary Committee Mansfield, D-Mont., Liverett despite the attorney general's legal opinion and the Senate's The President disclosed his rejection of the anti-poll tax backstage sentiments during a amendment. We had no idea discussion of the House voting how you felt about this, so it's rights bill at a White House extremely gratifying to know we

> "The attorney general is not: for poll taxes," pointed out Johnson. "He is as much against them as you and I are. But he is convinced state poll taxes cannot be cradicated by act of Congress. I don't agree with him. I favor outlawing all poll taxes, and would like to see the enactment of the House bill striking them down in the five : states that still have them.

"But, as I have indicated, my drum-tight silence. He gave very well publicly disagree no hint of how he felt.

with my attorney general."

BEST COURSE.

"I knew you were in for trouin its voting rights measure — ble when I heard that TV intered by the full chamber later this zenbach should have skirted that question. He should not have When the startled congression- taken a public position until he

Senate Democratic Leader dent's knowledge or approval. Mansfield warned there is little This occurred in response to likelihood the Senate will back questions during a televised in- down on its opposition to an anti-poll tax ban.

"The Senate conferees will stand pat on their approach to the poll tax issne." declared Mansfield, "That calls for the attorney general's initiating court action against state poll taxes. The attorney considers that the soundest way to pro--ceed, and so does a majority of the Senate. I don't think there is any chance of the Senate's accepting the House's outright ban against state poll taxes."

I fully agree with that estimate," said Vice President Lumphrey. "I know positively the Senate conferees intend to hold fast. If there is going to be voting rights legislation, a compromise will have to he worked out."

"We will cross that bridge when we come to it," declared Speaker McCorniack.

The President told the legislative leaders he was very eager to have the measure enacted so if could be signed on July 4.

"That would be very fitting," he said, "a new Declaration of Independance."

GOOD JOBS

Behind that determined Senate fight against changing the law to permit retired Gen. William McKee to become head of the Federal Aviation Agency are other big-name targets.

The bipartisan group waging this battle, spearheaded by Sens. Vance Hartke, D-Ind., and James Pearson, R-Kans., also is gunning for the following:

Gen, Maxwell Taylor, ambas-sador to South Viet Nam; Adm. George Anderson, ambassador to Portugal Adm. Jerauld RDF75-00001Rg007no

alist China; Gen. Herbert Powell, ampàssador to New Zealand; Adm. W. F. Raborn, director of the Central Intelligence Agency.

The first four draw more than \$39,000 in combined salary and pension. Raborn's total is \$38,-000: \$30,000 as CIA head, \$8,000 as a retired admiral.

In an unnoticed report prepared for the Senate Commerce Committee, of which he is a ranking member. Hartke assails these high incomes as excessive and unfair to civilian appointers occupying comparable positions.

Hartke is indicating a vigor-ous challenge will be made against the continued appoints ment of retired military officers to top government jobs. He is preparing a detailed list showing such appointees run into the thousands - with President. Johnson naming the largest number.